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# SNAP-ED Evaluation Framework

**Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Obesity Prevention Indicators**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDIVIDUAL</th>
<th>GOALS AND INTENTIONS</th>
<th>BEHAVIORAL CHANGES</th>
<th>MAINTENANCE OF BEHAVIORAL CHANGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST1: Healthy Eating</td>
<td>MT1: Healthy Eating</td>
<td>LT1: Healthy Eating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST3: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior</td>
<td>MT3: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior</td>
<td>LT3: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST4: Food Safety</td>
<td>MT4: Food Safety</td>
<td>LT4: Food Safety</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS</th>
<th>ORGANIZATIONAL MOTIVATORS</th>
<th>ORGANIZATIONAL ADOPTION AND PROMOTION</th>
<th>ORGANIZATIONAL IMPLEMENTATION AND EFFECTIVENESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EAT, LIVE, WORK, LEARN, SHOP, AND PLAY</td>
<td>ST5: Need and Readiness</td>
<td>MT5: Nutrition Supports</td>
<td>LT5: Nutrition Supports Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST6: Champions</td>
<td>MT6: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior Supports</td>
<td>LT6: Physical Activity Supports Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST7: Partnerships</td>
<td></td>
<td>LT7: Program Recognition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTORS OF INFLUENCE</th>
<th>MULTI-SECTOR CAPACITY</th>
<th>MULTI-SECTOR CHANGES</th>
<th>MULTI-SECTOR IMPACTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST8: Multi-Sector Partnerships and Planning</td>
<td>MT7: Government Policies</td>
<td>LT12: Food Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MT8: Agriculture</td>
<td>LT13: Government Investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MT9: Education Policies</td>
<td>LT14: Agriculture Sales and Incentives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MT10: Community Design and Safety</td>
<td>LT15: Educational Attainment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MT11: Health Care Clinical-Community Linkages</td>
<td>LT16: Shared Use Streets and Crime Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MT12: Social Marketing</td>
<td>LT17: Health Care Cost Savings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MT13: Media Practices</td>
<td>LT18: Commercial Marketing of Healthy Foods and Beverages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LT19: Community-Wide Recognition Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**POPULATION RESULTS (R)**

- TRENDS AND REDUCTION IN DISPARITIES
  - R1: Overall Diet Quality
  - R2: Fruits & Vegetables
  - R3: Whole Grains
  - R4: Dairy
  - R5: Beverages
  - R6: Food Security
  - R7: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior
  - R8: Breastfeeding
  - R9: Healthy Weight
  - R10: Family Meals
  - R11: Quality of Life

**Changes in Societal Norms and Values**

**NCCOR CONNECT & EXPLORE**

**APRIL 2016**
9-Step Process for Using the Interpretive Guide

1. Reflect on your goals and objectives and state or local needs assessment results.
2. Review the framework diagram to identify which indicators overlap with your goals and objectives.
3. Familiarize yourself with the terms used in the glossary to understand the language of the framework.
4. Develop a set of criteria for selecting indicators for your state or local project.
5. Choose one or more indicators for your monitoring and evaluation plan.
6. Study the indicator write-ups for your selected indicators.
7. Select appropriate outcome measures for each indicator.
8. Communicate your intended outcomes to senior management and stakeholders.
9. Implement your training and technical assistance plans.
SPOTLIGHT
Readiness and Need Assessment Flow Chart for SNAP-Ed

Jean Butel, MPH
University of Hawaii
On behalf of
RNECE PSE Change Center
The Regional Nutrition Education and Obesity Preventions Center of Excellence in Policy, Systems, and Environmental Change Center (RNECE-PSE) is committed to training, equipping, and empowering SNAP-Ed and EFNEP networks to effectively implement Policy, Systems, and Environmental (PSE) approaches to support healthy lifestyles for limited resource audiences where they work, live, and play.

Research shows targeting multiple levels of societal influence in conjunction with direct education to be a sustainable effort towards obesity prevention. In FY 2015 RNECE-PSE was designed to work cooperatively with geographic RNECE centers to support the implementation of PSE approaches integrated into SNAP-Ed and EFNEP programs.
RNECE-PSE Objectives

- Enhance the ability of SNAP-Ed and EFNEP networks to effectively implement and evaluate obesity prevention PSE strategies for disadvantaged low-income populations throughout the lifespan
- Strengthen SNAP-Ed and EFNEP nutrition education interventions through incorporation of effective culturally-responsive public health approaches that are centered on readiness to change best practices
- [http://snapedpse.org/](http://snapedpse.org/)
SNAP-ED EVALUATION FRAMEWORK
Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Obesity Prevention Indicators

INDIVIDUAL
GOALS AND INTENTIONS
ST1: Healthy Eating
ST2: Food Resource Management
ST3: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior
ST4: Food Safety

BEHAVIORAL CHANGES
MT1: Healthy Eating
MT2: Food Resource Management
MT3: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior
MT4: Food Safety

MAINTENANCE OF BEHAVIORAL CHANGES
LT1: Healthy Eating
LT2: Food Resource Management
LT3: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior
LT4: Food Safety

ENVIRONMENTAL MOTIVATORS
ST5: Need and Readiness
ST6: Champions
ST7: Partnerships

ORGANIZATIONAL ADOPTION AND PROMOTION
LT5: Nutrition Supports
LT6: Physical Activity Supports Implementation

ORGANIZATIONAL IMPLEMENTATION AND EFFECTIVENESS
LT7: Program Recognition
LT8: Media Coverage
LT9: Leveraged Resources
LT10: Planned Sustainability
LT11: Unexpected Benefits

SECTORS OF INFLUENCE
MULTI-SECTOR CAPACITY
ST8: Multi-Sector Partnerships and Planning

MULTI-SECTOR CHANGES
MT7: Government Policies
MT8: Agriculture
MT9: Education Policies
MT10: Community Design and Safety
MT11: Health Care Clinical-Community Linkages
MT12: Social Marketing
MT13: Media Practices

MULTI-SECTOR IMPACTS
LT12: Food Systems
LT13: Government Investments
LT14: Agriculture Sales and Incentives
LT15: Educational Attainment
LT16: Shared Use Streets and Crime Reduction
LT17: Health Care Cost Savings
LT18: Commercial Marketing of Healthy Foods and Beverages
LT19: Community-Wide Recognition Programs

POPULATION RESULTS (R)
TRENDS AND REDUCTION IN DISPARITIES
R1: Overall Diet Quality
R2: Fruits & Vegetables
R3: Whole Grains
R4: Dairy
R5: Beverages
R6: Food Security
R7: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior
R8: Breastfeeding
R9: Healthy Weight
R10: Family Weight
R11: Quality of Life

CHANGES IN SOCIETAL NORMS AND VALUES

APRIL 2016
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MT4: Food Safety Behaviors</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT1–LT4 Long Term Outcomes: Sustained Behaviors</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 2. Environmental Settings Level</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5: Readiness and Need</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6: Champions</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7: Organizational Partnerships</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT5: Nutrition Supports</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT6: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior Supports</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why Readiness Assessment?

- Provides a **process** to develop partnerships
- Provides **tools** to measure an organization or site’s readiness to create change
- Helps **identify** partners
### ST5: Readiness and Need

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Framework Component</th>
<th>Readiness &amp; Capacity - Organizational Motivators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator Description</td>
<td>Two-part indicator measuring sites or organizations where there is identified need for PSE changes and associated organizational and staff readiness for adopting PSE changes has been assessed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background and Context</td>
<td>Indicator ST5 is an appropriate place to start for SNAP-Ed implementers working on PSE changes. It uses a process to develop partnerships (see: ST7) and engage organizations in addressing environmental needs around eating, learning, living, playing, shopping, or working categories. This step-wise process develops staff, determines environmental needs, and assesses partner’s readiness to engage in PSE changes. This indicator is meant to guide the development of work plans and/or expand existing programs that address the other indicators presented in this guide. SNAP-Ed local project staff trained on ways to determine environmental need, engage organizations, and conduct readiness assessment are needed for PSE implementation. SNAP-Ed providers can assess needs in organizations or sites that serve low-income people. Although the needs assessment itself is not a program outcome, it is a first step toward implementation and thus a key program output for SNAP-Ed. Readiness tools measure an organization’s or site’s readiness to create changes that will address unmet needs for improved access or appeal of nutrition and physical activity supports. SNAP-Ed local project staff can work with partners identified in ST7 and the organization’s staff to use the results from prior needs assessments and fill in any observable gaps. Because of the breadth of SNAP-Ed settings, the categorization of strategies is useful to track organizations, and each organization or site should be assigned an eat, learn, live, play, shop, and work category. This information could be used to identify other organizations working in the same domain in a larger area. The flow chart on the following page can help you navigate ST5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Readiness and Need Flow Chart (p. 86)

1. Has a needs assessment been completed? 
   - No: Conduct a brief need assessment to identify high need areas before moving ahead with a readiness assessment (see environment needs assessment tools in "survey and data collection tools" below).
   - Yes: Is there a coalition representing the SNAP-Ed PSE approach?
     - No: Consider coalition building work before engaging in a formal readiness assessment (see tools and resources in GT5, GT7, and GT9).
     - Yes: Are the opinions/perspectives of core coalition leaders already clear/well established?
       - No: Consider conducting key informant interviews or a facilitated discussion with leaders using readiness tool (see ORNC).
       - Yes: Are the opinions/perspectives related to readiness already known from a broader group (e.g., the community or other organizations)?
         - No: Are members willing to help identify stakeholders and gather information?
           - Yes: Consider engaging the coalition in gathering information (see GT7 & GT9).
           - No: Consider gathering information through a larger facilitated discussion (see GT7 & GT9).
         - Yes: Is there broad community support related to the need? Are they concerned and willing to support?
           - No: There is a wealth or readiness information available – use it for analysis, information sharing, and strategic planning.
           - Yes: Are there resources available for gathering information from community members?
             - Yes: Consider conducting a community readiness survey.
             - No: Consider grant opportunities to identify or obtain resources for a survey.
               - Yes: Consider other available sources of public information, such as a media analysis.

NCCOR
CONNECT & EXPLORE
A step-wise approach to guide implementation of PSE activities for program coordinators

- Helps to indicate areas of focus
- Provides links to trainings and other resources, based on readiness and need assessment, and on progression
How to Use the Flow Chart—CHL Example

- **Intervention**: Coalition of Partners focusing on improving the physical activity and nutrition environment in the community
CHL example: No needs assessment on walkability was completed

- Review PLAY Strategies (p. 90)
  - Physical Activity Resource Assessment (PARA)
    http://activelivingresearch.org/physical-activity-resource-assessment-para-instrument
  - Community Park Audit Tool (CPAT)
    http://activelivingresearch.org/community-park-audit-tool-cpat
  - Walkability Checklist – Safe Routes to School*
    http://www.saferoutesinfo.org/program-tools/education-walkability-checklist
  - October Walk to School Month Walkability Checklist
  - Bikeability Checklist – Safe Routes to School
    http://www.saferoutesinfo.org/program-tools/education-bikeability-checklist
  - Pedestrian Environmental Data Scan (PEDS)
  - California Youth Participatory Action Research*
    http://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/cpns/Pages/YouthEngagement.aspx
• Do you conduct the assessment or do you find someone in the community to do it?

• Ideas for community partners:
  – After school program
  – PTA
  – Neighborhood or Park and Recreation board

Interpretive Guide Resources (CHL Example)

Walkability Checklist

How walkable is your community?

Take a walk with a child and decide for yourselves.

Everyone benefits from walking. These benefits include: improved fitness, cleaner air, reduced risks of certain health problems, and a greater sense of community. But walking needs to be safe and easy. Take a walk with your child and use this checklist to decide if your neighborhood is a friendly place to walk. Take heart if you find problems, these are ways you can make things better.

Getting started:

First, you’ll need to pick a place to walk, like the route to school, a friend’s house or just somewhere fun to go. The second step involves the checklist. Read over the checklist before you go, and as you walk, note the locations of things you would like to change. At the end of your walk, give each question a rating. Then add up the numbers to see how you rated your walk overall. After you’ve rated your walk and identified any problem areas, the next step is to figure out what you can do to improve your community’s score. You’ll find both immediate answers and long-term solutions under “Improving Your Community’s Score…” on the third page.
Readiness and Need Flow Chart: Step 2

- **CHL example:** There wasn’t a Coalition of Partners focusing on improving the physical activity and nutrition environment in the community

  ![Flow Chart Diagram]

  2. **Review Resources on Champions (p 95):**
     - Center for Collaborative Planning: [http://connectccp.org](http://connectccp.org)
     - Champions for Change (Calif. Dept. of Public Health, Nutrition Education and Obesity Prevention Branch): [http://cachampionsforchange.cdph.ca.gov/Pages/default.aspx](http://cachampionsforchange.cdph.ca.gov/Pages/default.aspx)
     - White House Champions of Change: [https://www.whitehouse.gov/champions](https://www.whitehouse.gov/champions)
     - Change Lab Solutions: [http://changelabsolutions.org](http://changelabsolutions.org)
     - Texas Health Champion Award: [https://sph.uth.edu/research/centers/dell/texas-obesity-awareness-week/about-the-texas-health-champion.htm](https://sph.uth.edu/research/centers/dell/texas-obesity-awareness-week/about-the-texas-health-champion.htm)
Interpretive Guide Resources (CHL Example)

• How do you find champions in the community?
  – Center for Collaborative Planning → Resource Library → Community Building

• Community Tool Box—http://ctb.ku.edu/
  – Chapter 7
Example: A coalition (501 3c) was formed to promote improving the physical activity and nutrition environment in the community, but their opinions/perspective unclear.

- **Resources that address organizational readiness (p. 88)**
  - Organizational Readiness for Implementing Change (ORIC)
  - The 12-question ORIC tool is available at:
    [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3904699/bin/1748-5908-9-7-S1.doc](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3904699/bin/1748-5908-9-7-S1.doc)
• Example: Coalition of Partners focusing on Wellness and Place-based Learning
  – Focus is on the lower half of the flow chart, as there was already a coalition, a lead, that wanted to add to the partnership
Readiness and Need Flow Chart (CHL Example)

1. **Has a needs assessment been completed?**
   - No: Conduct a brief need assessment to identify high need areas before moving ahead with a readiness assessment (see environment needs assessment tools in "survey and data collection tools" below)
   - Yes:
     - **Is there a coalition representing the SNAP-Ed PDSE approach?**
       - No: Consider coalition building work before engaging in a formal readiness assessment (see tools and resources in ST6, ST7 and ST8)
       - Yes:
         - **Are the opinions/perspectives of core coalition leaders already clearly established?**
           - No: Consider conducting key informant interviews or a facilitated discussion with leaders using readiness tool (see ORIC)
           - Yes:
             - Are the opinions/perspectives related to readiness already known from a broader group (e.g., the community or other organizations)?
               - Yes:
                 - **Is there community support related to the need? Are they concerned and willing to support?**
                   - No: Consider gathering information through a larger facilitated discussion (see ST7 & ST8)
                   - Yes:
                     - **Are there resources available for gathering information from community members?**
                       - No: Consider conducting a community readiness survey
                       - Yes:
                         - Consider engaging the coalition in gathering information (see ST7 & ST8)
             - No: Consider gathering information available - use it for analysis, information sharing, and strategic planning
               - Yes:
                 - Consider grant opportunities to identify or obtain resources for a survey
                 - Consider other available sources of public information, such as a media analysis

2. **Are members willing to help identify stakeholders and gather information?**
   - No: Consider gathering information through a larger facilitated discussion (see ST7 & ST8)
   - Yes:
     - **Are there resources available for gathering information from community members?**
       - No: Consider grant opportunities to identify or obtain resources for a survey
       - Yes:
         - Consider conducting a community readiness survey
         - Consider other available sources of public information, such as a media analysis
• Example: The opinions/perspectives related to Wellness and Place-Based learning were not well known to the community

• The Qualitative Approach (p. 98)
  Qualitative approaches through direct observation, content analysis, and documentation review can include one or more of the following methods to identify:
  • Key informant interviews with partnership members to identify activities, partnership maturity level, barriers and success factors, and outcomes
  • Key informant interviews with nonparticipating members to identify partnership activities and outcomes
  • Content analysis of partnership communication, meeting minutes, and/or partnership plans

• Review of organizational chart or partnership structure
Example: There wasn’t broad community support for the Alliance

Environmental Assessment summary (p. 88)

- Communities of Excellence in Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Obesity Prevention (CX3)*
  http://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/cpns/Pages/CX3_Main_Navgation.aspx

- Alliance partners also conducted participant diabetes surveys to obtain community feedback

CHL’s Thoughts/Next Steps

- In general, there are some healthy things going on in Nanakuli.
- That said, there is work to do to make it even better!
- What type of work do you want to lead within your community that would have an impact on the lives of children 2-8 years old?

Areas for Improvement Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Suggested Improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food outlets</td>
<td>increase number of healthy ads inside the store</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>decrease amount of unhealthy food available at checkout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>increase the amount of fresh fruits and vegetables at convenience stores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>better maintenance of restrooms and drinking fountains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>increase amenities in parks with four or less amenities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>increase pedestrian access to beach parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School facilities</td>
<td>reduce garbage, litter and other iniquities at Nanakuli Intermediate and High School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>provide soap in restrooms at Nanakuli Intermediate and High School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>increase number of schools with sidewalks leading to entrance (four schools did not have sidewalks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity facilities</td>
<td>increase amenities that cater to children ages 2 to 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast food establishments</td>
<td>increase healthy menu items (role models: Subway had 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walkability</td>
<td>improve pedestrian safety on Farrington Highway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>improve sidewalks/walking paths on side roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>increase amount of shade on Farrington Highway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interpretive Guide Resources (CHL Example)
• Example: There wasn’t broad community support for the Alliance.

Environmental Assessment summary (p 88)
  • Communities of Excellence in Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Obesity Prevention (CX3)*
    http://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/cpns/Pages/CX3_Main_Navgation.aspx
  • Alliance partners conducted participant Diabetes surveys as well to obtain community feedback
Are you interested in growing traditional crops such as kalo, mai’a and ki?
• **Example:** The Alliance has a lot of information available to analyze, share, and use for planning

• **Outcome Measures ST8c and ST8d (p. 147):**
  Level of active engagement within the partnership and level of influence of the SNAP-Ed partner within the partnership can be assessed using the following:
  • The PARTNER tool: [http://www.partnertool.net/](http://www.partnertool.net/)
PARTNER is a team of experts that help people, organizations, and communities build, manage, and evaluate effective networks. We provide research and evaluation, tools, and training, all focused on translating data to practice.

Looking For the PARTNER Tool?
Click Here to Register, Access Your Surveys, and Take the Survey

A major challenge facing organizations today is how to partner with other organizations, agencies, and groups to collaboratively address social and political goals while effectively maximizing resource sharing of the partners involved. However, the process by which organizations have engaged partners in collaboration has varied, with few ways to measure the success of these partnerships. Public health surveys underlie the ability to answer these questions.
• **Our guiding question:** What factors contribute to the health and wellness of children in Waianae (positive and negative) and how are these factors interrelated?

• Some of the key take away points from this exercise:
  • Teaching kids how to grow, eat, and cook new foods seems to be a natural leverage point to create waves of change. The existing unhealthy social norms create a vicious cycle of dis-empowerment, loss of connection to place and community, and poor eating and health (See R1 on the map). But we found that exposing kids to new foods and growing food increases a sense of connection to land which flips that whole vicious cycle (R1) around and makes it a virtuous one.
  • A second leverage point that we found is in the development of healthy Adult or Near Peer role models for kids. Investment of time and energy in this area increases the number of kids who are eating, growing, and cooking healthy foods which amplifies all the other positive effects in the other feedback loops.

• These leverage points and key areas are highlighted in Red on the map.
Readiness to Collaborate Assessment Tool

• Coming Soon!
  – Cognitive testing to begin in Fall 2016
  – Pre-pilot testing now

• Designed to help identify ways to successfully and sustainably implement PSE in SNAP-Ed. Components include:
  – Establishment of collaborative partnerships with community groups and organizations
  – Knowledge and use of available resources (to include training, tools, skills, other agencies and partners)
  – Identification and support of initiatives that support Policy, Systems, and Environmental (PSE) Change for obesity prevention
Thank You

• NCCOR
• Developers of SNAP-Ed Evaluation Framework
• RNECE PSE Change Center
• Children’s Healthy Living (CHL) Program
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RNECE-PSE Milestone 5 Project Manager
CHL Intervention Coordinator
College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources
University of Hawai’i at Mānoa
Email: jbutel@Hawaii.edu
Questions?
Please type your question(s) in the chat box located on the right.
Measuring Priority Indicators in California SNAP-Ed

Lauren MacKenzie Whetstone, PhD
University of California
Nutrition Policy Institute
Nutrition Education and Obesity Prevention Evaluation Unit
California SNAP-Ed Agencies

- Five state implementing agencies
  - California Department of Social Services
    - 6 county welfare departments
  - California Department of Public Health
    - 61 local health departments
  - University of California CalFresh
    - 31 counties through Cooperative Extension
  - California Department of Aging
    - 19 Area Agencies on Aging
  - Catholic Charities of California
    - 11 local agencies across 24 counties
California SNAP-Ed Evaluation

• Beginning in FFY17, counties preparing three-year integrated work plans
• Common SNAP-Ed goals and objectives
  – Developing shared evaluation plan
• Guided by theory of change
Focus on California Department of Public Health
– Contract with UC Nutrition Policy Institute for evaluation
# SNAP-ED Evaluation Framework

**Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Obesity Prevention Indicators**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual Goals and Intentions</th>
<th>Behavioral Changes</th>
<th>Maintenance of Behavioral Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST1: Healthy Eating</td>
<td>MT1: Healthy Eating</td>
<td>LT1: Healthy Eating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST3: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior</td>
<td>MT3: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior</td>
<td>LT3: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST4: Food Safety</td>
<td>MT4: Food Safety</td>
<td>LT4: Food Safety</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organizational Motivators</th>
<th>Organizational Adoption and Promotion</th>
<th>Organizational Implementation and Effectiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST5: Need and Readiness</td>
<td>NT5: Nutrition Supports</td>
<td>LT5: Nutrition Supports Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6: Champions</td>
<td>NT6: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior Supports</td>
<td>LT6: Physical Activity Supports Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7: Partnerships</td>
<td></td>
<td>LT7: Program Recognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LT8: Media Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LT9: Leveraged Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LT10: Planned Sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LT11: Unexpected Benefits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multi-Sector Capacity</th>
<th>Multi-Sector Changes</th>
<th>Multi-Sector Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST8: Multi-Sector Partnerships and Planning</td>
<td>NT7: Government Policies</td>
<td>LT12: Food Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NT8: Agriculture</td>
<td>LT13: Government Investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NT9: Education Policies</td>
<td>LT14: Agriculture Sales and Incentives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NT10: Community Design and Safety</td>
<td>LT15: Educational Attainment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NT11: Health Care Clinical-Community Linkages</td>
<td>LT16: Shared Use Streets and Crime Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NT12: Social Marketing</td>
<td>LT17: Health Care Cost Savings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NT13: Media Practices</td>
<td>LT18: Commercial Marketing of Healthy Foods and Beverages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LT19: Community-Wide Recognition Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Population Results (R)**

- R1: Overall Diet Quality
- R2: Fruits & Vegetables
- R3: Whole Grains
- R4: Dairy
- R5: Beverages
- R6: Food Security
- R7: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior
- R8: Breastfeeding
- R9: Healthy Weight
- R10: Family Meals
- R11: Quality of Life

**Changes in Societal Norms and Values**

April 2016
Measuring Behavioral Changes

- Impact Outcome Evaluation
  - LHDs with over $350,000 funding
  - Surveys before and after direct education class series
    - In FFY16
      - 44 evaluation projects in 36 counties
      - ~8500 matched surveys
Measuring Population Results

• Champions for Healthy Change Survey
  – Four-year longitudinal survey
  – Mothers, teens, youth
  – Randomly selected from among SNAP households in 17 counties
  – ASA24, physical activity, self-reported height and weight
  – Mothers from census tracts with higher levels of SNAP-Ed intervention reach reported eating more fruits and vegetables and fewer high-fat foods (both frequency and calories)

Gathering PSE activity information: FFY14 and FFY15

- Training webinars and one on one technical assistance
- Microsoft Access form based on the Western Region Evaluation Framework
  - Measured the number of SNAP-Ed sites at which PSE changes were made, the types of changes, and implementation of multi-component approach
PSE changes in California

FFY15 PSE Sites and Settings

Setting
- learn|Afterschool programs (includes before school programs)
- learn|Early care and education
- learn|Libraries
- learn|Other places people go to "learn"
- learn|Schools
- live|Community organizations
- live|Faith / places of worship
- live|Family resource centers
- live|Group living arrangements
- live|Low-income health clinics
- live|Other neighborhood settings where people "live" or live nearby
- live|Public housing
- live|Residential treatment centers
- live|Shelters

*Data do not include over 2400 schools that took part in the Wellness Policy since only the district office was geographically mapped.

Setting
- eat|Congregate meal sites & other senior nutrition centers
- eat|Other places people primarily go to "eat" outside the home
- eat|Restaurants
- play|Community centers
- play|Gardens (community or school)
- play|Parks and open spaces
- shop|Farmers markets
- shop|Food banks and pantries
- work|Worksites with low-wage workers
PSE Reporting Challenges

- Microsoft Access
- Tracking
- Data quality
  - Consistency across LHDs
  - Difficult data to obtain
    - Reach
    - Resources
Gathering PSE activity information: FFY16

- PEARs PSE module
  - On-line SNAP-Ed PSE reporting system
- Developed by Kansas State University
- Pilot test this fiscal year
# SNAP-ED Evaluation Framework

## Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Obesity Prevention Indicators

### Readiness & Capacity
**Short Term (ST)**
- **Goals and Intentions**
  - ST1: Healthy Eating
  - ST2: Food Resource Management
  - ST3: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior
  - ST4: Food Safety

**Medium Term (MT)**
- **Behavioral Changes**
  - MT1: Healthy Eating
  - MT2: Food Resource Management
  - MT3: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior
  - MT4: Food Safety

**Long Term (LT)**
- **Maintenance of Behavioral Changes**
  - LT1: Healthy Eating
  - LT2: Food Resource Management
  - LT3: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior
  - LT4: Food Safety

### Environmental Settings
**Eat, Live, Work, Learn, Shop, and Play**
- **Organizational Motivators**
  - ST5: Need and Readiness
  - ST6: Champions
  - ST7: Partnerships

**Organizational Adoption and Promotion**
- NT5: Nutrition Supports
- NT6: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior Supports

### Multi-Sector Capacity
- **Multi-Sector Changes**
  - NT7: Government Policies
  - NT8: Agriculture
  - NT9: Education Policies
  - NT10: Community Design and Safety
  - NT11: Health Care Clinical-Community Linkages
  - NT12: Social Marketing
  - NT13: Media Practices

### Multi-Sector Impacts
- **LT2: Food Systems**
- **LT3: Government Investments**
- **LT4: Agriculture Sales and Incentives**
- **LT5: Educational Attainment**
- **LT6: Shared Use Streets and Crime Reduction**
- **LT7: Health Care Cost Savings**
- **LT8: Commercial Marketing of Healthy Foods and Beverages**
- **LT9: Community-Wide Recognition Programs**

### Population Results (R)
**Trends and Reduction in Disparities**
- R1: Overall Diet Quality
- R2: Fruits & Vegetables
- R3: Whole Grains
- R4: Dairy
- R5: Beverages
- R6: Food Security
- R7: Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior
- R8: Breastfeeding
- R9: Healthy Weight
- R10: Family Meals
- R11: Quality of Life

---

**Changes in Societal Norms and Values**

---

**April 2018**
MT5/6: Nutrition and Physical Activity Supports

### Outcome Measures

#### Adoption
Takes place when SNAP-Ed sites or organizations put into effect an evidence-based PSE change

- MT5/6a. Number and proportion of sites or organizations that make at least one change in writing or practice to expand access or improve appeal for healthy eating
- MT5/6b. Total number of policy changes
- MT5/6c. Total number of systems changes
- MT5/6d. Total number of environmental changes
- MT5/6e. Total number of promotional efforts for a PSE change

#### Potential Reach
MT5/6f. Reach: Total potential number of persons who encounter the improved environment or are affected by the policy change on a regular (typical) basis and are assumed to be influenced by it.
Adoption

Changes Adopted

• It is important to document each change that occurs as a result of PSE work at this site or organization. Has the site or organization you are working with made at least one change in policy or practice to improve appeal for healthy food and beverages, or expand access and promote physical activity and reduced time spent being sedentary?

• Use the list below to select the changes adopted during the current reporting year of October 1, 2015–September 30, 2016.
# Nutrition Supports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Systems</th>
<th>Environmental</th>
<th>Promotion (Marketing)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Improvements in hours of operation/time allotted for meals or food service</td>
<td>f. Change in menus (variety, quality, offering lighter fares)</td>
<td>p. Improvements in layout or display of food (Smarter Lunchrooms)</td>
<td>t. Point-of-purchase and distribution prompts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Policies for working parents</td>
<td>g. Removing sugar-sweetened beverages from children's menus</td>
<td>q. Edible gardens (establish, reinvigorate or maintain food gardens)</td>
<td>u. Menu labeling with calorie, fat, sodium, added sugar counts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Rules for foods served in meetings or in classrooms</td>
<td>h. Improvements in free water access, taste, quality, smell, or temperature</td>
<td>r. Lactation supports or dedicated lactation space</td>
<td>v. Vending machine labeling (e.g., calories, traffic light color coding)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Standards for healthier eating across the organization</td>
<td>i. Restrictions on use of food as rewards or during celebrations</td>
<td>s. Healthier vending machine initiatives (e.g., access to healthier foods and beverages)</td>
<td>w. Increased awareness of the changes by target audiences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. School wellness or child care wellness policy</td>
<td>j. Change in food purchasing specification towards healthier foods(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k. Change in vendor agreement towards healthier foods(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>l. Prioritizing farm-to-table/increase in fresh or local produce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m. Enhanced training on menu design and healthy cooking techniques</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n. Use of standardized, healthy recipes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o. Collecting excess wholesome food to donate to charitable organizations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Physical Activity and Reduced Sedentary Behavior Supports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Systems</th>
<th>Environmental</th>
<th>Promotion (Marketing)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| a. Improvements in hours of operation of recreation facilities | g. Let’s Move! Active Schools  
h. Incorporating physical activity into the school day or during classroom-based instruction  
i. New or improved access to structured physical activity programs | j. Improvements in access to safe walking or bicycling paths, or Safe Routes to School or work  
k. Addition of bike racks  
l. Improvements in access to or appeal of stairwells  
m. Improvements in access to exercise or recreation facilities | n. Signage and prompts for use of walking and bicycling paths  
o. New or improved stairwell prompts  
p. Increased awareness of the PSE change by the target audience |
Potential Reach

Reach may be calculated differently, depending on the setting. Please review our documentation on calculating reach for more information. Consider all changes adopted in the current reporting year for this site when calculating total reach.

Total # of people reached by PSE work at this site or organization

Select method used to determine total reach

- Measured
- Estimated
LT5 and LT6: Implementation and Effectiveness

**Outcome**

**Implementation**

LT5/6a. Total number of sites or organizations that implemented a multi-component and multi-level intervention with one or more changes in MT5 (site or organizational adoption of PSE changes and promotion) and **one** or more of the following additional components:

- Evidence-based education
- Marketing
- Parent/community involvement
- Staff training on continuous program and policy implementation

LT5/6b. Total number of components per site or organization, and types of components implemented during the period assessed

**Effectiveness**

LT5/6c. Number of sites or organizations that made at least one PSE change (MT5/6) and show improved food environment assessment scores using a reliable and, if possible, valid environmental assessment tool
Implementation

Organizational changes are not intended to replace direct nutrition education or social marketing initiatives. In order to maximize the overall reach and effectiveness of your PSE work, it is suggested organizational policy changes and environmental supports be made as part of multi-component and multi-level interventions to sustain the new changes or standards over time.

Which of the following complementary activities were implemented at this site or site group during the current reporting year of October 1, 2015 – September 30, 2016? (Select all that apply)

[ ] Evidence-based education
[ ] Marketing
[ ] Parent / community involvement
[ ] Staff training on continuous program and policy implementation
Effectiveness

While conducting a formal assessment is optional, environmental assessments offer an excellent opportunity to help understand the effectiveness of your work, when you pair baseline and post-intervention assessments. Increased environmental assessment scores provide objective, systematic evidence of documented environment-level improvements.

Date Baseline Assessment Administered

Brief Description of Baseline Assessment Results

Please include a brief summary of the baseline assessment results.

Date Follow-up Assessment Administered

Brief Description of Follow-up Assessment Results

Please include a brief summary of the follow-up assessment results.
Effectiveness: Environmental Assessment Tools

• Early care and education
  – CHOICE toolkit and self-assessment questionnaire

• Retail
  – Communities of Excellence in Nutrition, Physical Activity and Obesity Prevention (CX³)

• Worksite
  – Check for Health
Barriers to Adoption and Implementation

- Across all settings, in FFY15, most frequent barriers:
  - Funding
  - Buy-in
  - Turnover
  - Time

- Partnerships help address barriers
The Near Future

- Excited for pilot of PEARLS PSE module
- Appreciative of the excellent work to produce SNAP-Ed Evaluation Framework and interpretive guide
Lauren MacKenzie Whetstone, PhD
University of California
Nutrition Policy Institute
Nutrition Education and
Obesity Prevention Evaluation Unit
Email: lmwhetstone@ucanr.edu
Phone: 916.449.5394
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Questions?

Please type your question(s) in the chat box located on the right.
Utilizing the Framework: A Utah Perspective

Heidi LeBlanc, MS, CFCS
Director, Food $ense (SNAP-Ed)
Utah State University
Utah State University (SNAP-Ed)

• Utilizing the SNAP-Ed Framework for SNAP-Ed Plan Development
• Goals
• Objectives
Utah SNAP-Ed MT1

• Healthy Eating Behaviors
• Direct Education for English
• Direct Education for Spanish
• Direct Education for Refugees
• Direct Education Intergenerational Poverty
• Create Family Meals Cooking Classes
• Direct Education for Youth
• Healthy Eating Behaviors
• Intent to Change Behavior Checklist (Retrospective Post-/Pre-)
  – Follow My Plate Recommendations
  – Increased F/V Consumption
  – Physical Activity
  – Eating whole vs. overly processed foods
Utah SNAP-Ed MT1

• Healthy Eating Behaviors
• Six-month & one-year follow ups
  – Follow My Plate Recommendations
  – Increased F/V consumption
  – Physical activity
  – Eating whole vs. overly processed foods
Utah SNAP-Ed MT1

• Healthy Eating Behaviors
• Focus Groups (Interviews)
  – What steps do you take to be healthy?
  – What influences your food and beverage consumption?
  – What changes, if any, could be made in your community to help people make better food choices?
Food Resource Management Behaviors
- Intent to Change Behavior Checklist
- Six-month and one-year follow ups
- Focus group (interviews)
Utah SNAP-Ed MT2

- Intent to change
- Healthy menu planning
- Food safety
- Practice of grocery shopping with list for healthy food while using store ads and other resources
- Elements of a well stocked kitchen

- Six-month & one-year follow up
- Practice of menu planning
- Practice of grocery shopping with list for healthy food while using store ads and other resources
- Elements of a well stocked kitchen
Utah SNAP-Ed MT2

- Food resource management behavior
- Focus groups (interviews)
  - Where do you do most of your grocery shopping?
  - What, if anything, have you heard about the Double Up Food Bucks Program?
• Physical Activity & Reduce Sedentary Behavior
  – Intent to Change Behavior Checklist
  – Six-month and one-year follow ups
  – Focus group (interviews)
Utah SNAP-Ed MT3

- Intent to Change Behavior Checklist
- Physically active
- Six-month and one-year follow ups
- Physically active
Utah SNAP-Ed MT3

- Physical activity and reduce sedentary behaviors
- Focus groups (interviews)
  - Where do you get information about nutrition and exercise?
  - What barriers if any, in your community prevent you from being more active?
  - What changes could be made in your community that would encourage people to be more active?
TOOLS YOU CAN USE
# Adoption of PEAR

## Unit Snapshot Utah

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Back to Top</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program Activities</td>
<td>1,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteers</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer Hours</td>
<td>827.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sessions</td>
<td>2,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session Length</td>
<td>1,184.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Program Activities (or their attached Participants) having more than one Program Area will be counted once per Program Area.
Adoption of PEARS

Program Activities
Per County for the Utah

- Box Elder
- Cache
- Rich
- Weber
- Morgan
- Davis
- Salt Lake
- Summit
- Wasatch
- Duchesne
- Utah
- Juab
- Sanpete
- Emery
- Sevier
- Beaver
- Piute
- Wayne
- Garfield
- Kane
- Washington
- Iron
- San Juan
- Daggett
- Uintah

NCCOR CONNECT & EXPLORE
Adoption of PEARs

Shop with a list.

Pre-instrument: 3.52
Post-instrument: 3.7

Stretch my food dollars so there is food to last through the entire month.

Pre-instrument: 3.58
Post-instrument: 3.77
SNAC

• Networks
• Resource sharing
• Program partnerships
• Using the framework for planning and implementing programming
• Thumbs Up
• UBET (Utah Breakfast Expansion Team)
• LIC (Local Interagency Councils)
• Social Marketing: CREATE Family Mealtime
Heidi LeBlanc, MS, CFCS
Director, Food $ense (SNAP-Ed)
Utah State University
Email: heidi.leblanc@usu.edu
ONE ON ONE
Questions?

Please type your question(s) in the chat box located on the right.
Questions from the Audience
UPCOMING EVENTS
Evaluating Health Care Community Collaborations: Hospital-Based Programs

- September 14, 2016
  1:00–2:00 p.m. ET / 10:00–11:00 a.m. PT

- Guest speakers include:
  - Victoria Rogers, MD, Director, Let’s Go! The Barbara Bush Children’s Hospital at Maine Medical Center.
  - Ihuoma Eneli, MD, MS, FAAP, Professor of Pediatrics, The Ohio State University, Director, Center for Healthy Weight and Nutrition, Nationwide Children’s Hospital.
Support Our Thunderclap

Celebrate #NCOAM this September by learning how #NCCOR is accelerating progress to reduce #ChildhoodObesity
Further Questions?

Other questions about NCCOR or upcoming activities?

Email the NCCOR Coordinating Center at

nccor@fhi360.org
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